IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

TRAVIS DAVIS,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	No. 3:18-cv-3131-B (BT)
	§	
	§	
RAYBURN C.C., et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This cause of action was referred to the United States magistrate judge pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and an order of the District Court. The findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge follow:

T.

Plaintiff filed this complaint alleging violations of his civil rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He did not pay the filing fee or file a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and he did not file his complaint on the proper form. On December 3, 2018, the Court sent Plaintiff a notice of deficiency. The Court informed Plaintiff that failure to cure the deficiencies within thirty days could result in a recommendation that his complaint be dismissed. Plaintiff did not

respond to the Court's deficiency notice. On January 17, 2019, the Court sent a second deficiency notice. More than thirty days have passed, and Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's order.

II.

Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows a court to dismiss an action sua sponte for failure to prosecute or for failure to comply with the federal rules or any court order. Larson v. Scott, 157 F.3d 1030, 1031 (5th Cir. 1998). "This authority [under Rule 41(b)] flows from the court's inherent power to control its docket and prevent undue delays in the disposition of pending cases." Boudwin v. Graystone Ins. Co., Ltd., 756 F.2d 399, 401 (5th Cir. 1985) (citing Link v. Wabash, R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626 (1962)). The Court has issued a deficiency notice to Plaintiff advising him that he must pay the filing fee or file a motion to proceed in forma pauperis and that he must use the proper form of complaint for his civil rights claims. However, Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's order. This litigation cannot proceed until Plaintiff complies with the Court's order and either pays the filing fee or files a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff must also replead his claims using the proper form for a civil rights complaint. Accordingly, the complaint should be dismissed for want of prosecution under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

II.

For the foregoing reasons, the Court recommends that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

Signed March 15, 2019.

REBECCA RUTHERFORD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

A copy of this report and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of this report and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). To be specific, an objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. See Douglass v. United Services Automobile Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996).